What we will do today

• Gain methodological and hands-on expertise in:
  1. **Information Extraction and Relation Extraction**
     • Distill relevant information from text data
     • Construct one-mode & multi-mode semantic networks from unstructured, natural language text data
     • Several natural language processing/text mining techniques
        – Pre-processing
        – Identify salient concepts from single documents and corpora
        – Create and apply codebooks (aka dictionaries or thesauri)
        – Locate and classify entities that can serve as nodes for networks.
        – Link entities into edges (relation extraction)
  2. **Network Analysis**
     • Collect, visualize, analyze, interpret network data
        – Compute basic network metrics on the graph and node level
What we will do today

3. Computational Thinking
   – A fundamental skill that people from all backgrounds can use to solve problems in their domain.
   – Like reading, writing, arithmetic. Not a rote skill.
   – An approach to solving problems, designing systems and understanding human behavior that draws on concepts fundamental to computer science.

Introduction:
Network Analysis
The Concept of Networks

Network Analysis: Workflow

- Behavioral Data
- Interaction data
- Data management and analysis
  - Data representation in relational form
  - Data analysis
- Utilization
  - Answer substantive and graph-theoretic questions
  - Develop and test hypothesis and theories
  - Create visualizations
  - Populate databases
  - Simulations, assess interventions
  - Input to further computations, e.g. machine learning
Questions that Network Analysis Helps to Answer

• General types of questions:
  – What does it look like? (Visualization)
  – What are the structure, functioning, dynamics of a network?
  – Who are the key entities? (Key player analysis)
  – Which subgroups exist? (Clustering)
  – What would happen if...? (Simulation)

• Specific questions:
  – Who talks to whom?
  – About what?
  – How do ideas and innovations emerge, spread, change and vanish in society?
  – Who are the key players in a network?
  – What benefits and risks result from an observed network structure for the network and its wider context?

Network Analysis: Core Idea and Relevance

• Concurrently study nodes (entities) and edges (relations)
• Understand patterns of relationships between entities
• Understand how micro-level behavior leads to macro-level outcomes

- Widespread acknowledgement of everything being connected
- Popularity of social networking services
- Advances in computational solutions for network analysis

Strong demand for solid knowledge & skills in network analysis in academia, administration, business.
Network Basics: Nodes and Edges

- **Nodes** (aka points, vertices)
  - Classical: social agents (agents, groups): social network
  - One type of nodes: one-mode network
  - Multiple node classes (e.g. information and agents): multi-mode network
    - Socio-technical networks (people and infrastructures)

- **Edges** (aka links, ties)
  - Binary or weighted (frequency, probability, ordinal data)
  - Directed or not
  - Typed or not
    - One type: simplex, multiple types: multiplex

Network Basics: Network Characteristics

- **Structure**
  - Patterns of relations among entities
  - Network analysis: understand the structure, functioning and dynamics of networks
    - Consider entities & relations simultaneously

- **Dynamic**

- **Complex**
  - Multitude of interactions
  - Simple decision the node level can lead to complex structure and behavior
Network Basics: Levels of Analysis

- **Node**: Egocentric: ego and respective alters
- **Dyad**:
  - Undirected: \((N^2 - N)/2\)
  - Directed: \((N^2 - N)\)
  - Reciprocity?
- **Triad**:
  - Georg Simmel: triad smallest meaningful social unit
  - Directed: 16 isomorphic classes (triad census)
- **Cluster**: grouping
- **Complete network**

Levels of Analysis: Triads

- **Exercise**:
  - The enemy of my enemy is my friend
  - The friend of my friend is my friend
  - The friend of my enemy is my enemy
  - The enemy of my friend is my enemy
Network Basics: Structural Balance

• Heider (1940): generalization of theory of cognitive dissonance, extended by Cartwright and Harary (1956) (blue = pos, red = neg)

• 1 or 3 +: balanced: No tensions, stable
• 0 or 2+: unbalanced: tension, stress, dissonance, change, unstable

Network Basics: Structural Balance

• A triad is balanced if its sign (product of signs) is positive

balanced

unbalanced
**From Reading Tea Leaves to Metrics**

- Who is key?
- Depends on: With respect to what dimension of power?


**Node-level Network Metrics: Dimensions of Power and Influence**

- Degree Centrality
  - Idea: immediate contacts (ego-network per node)
  - Power: Prestige, Action
  - Roles: Star, Hub
  - Computation: Sum of direct links per node

- Closeness Centrality
  - Idea: Reaching
  - Power: Fastest access to other nodes or what flows through the network
  - Roles: Monitor, Transmitter
  - Computation: Inverse of sum of geodesic distances (shortest path) from a node to all other nodes
Node-level Network Metrics: Dimensions of Power and Influence

• Betweenness Centrality
  – Idea: Lying in between
  – Power: Control, Mediation
  – Roles: Broker, Gatekeeper, Bridge, Liaison
  – Computation: Extent to which a node is on shortest path between any pair of nodes

• Eigenvector Centrality
  – Idea: Close to power
  – Power: Access
  – Roles: Lobbyist

• Equivalence
  – Regular (strict)
  – Structural (relaxed)
  – Idea: Redundancy, Resilience

Models of Network Evolution: Random Graphs

Principle: At each time step:
a) equal chance for any pair of unconnected nodes to get connected
b) one such pair picked randomly and linked

Question: What do you think will happen?

Run in NetLogo
Models of Network Evolution:
Random Graphs

- Model: Random graphs (Erdős, Rényi 1959)
- A giant component emerges
  - Component = connected group of nodes
- Node degree (degree centrality) in resulting network follows a normal distribution, no highly connected nodes

Models of Network Evolution:
Scale-Free Networks

- Principle: Bias: a node’s chance of getting linked is directly proportional to its degree.
- Example: Meet Ben and Amy. Everybody likes them. Therefore, at each time step, connections to Ben and Amy are a little more likely than all other connections.
- Question: What do you think will happen?
Models of Network Evolution: Scale-Free Networks

- Model: preferential attachment, aka scale-free networks, power-law networks (Barabási & Albert 1999)
- Emergence of hubs (nodes with high degree centrality)
- A node’s tenure and popularity translate into node’s degree
  - This explains the first mover’s advantage (e.g. Microsoft)
  - Question: How could Google as a late-comer in the search engine market win over the majority of users?
    - A: Fitness function

Random Networks vs. Scale-Free Networks

Barabasi (2002), pg. 71
Scale-Free Networks

- Skewed distributions have several names/flavors:
  - **Power law**: polynomial distribution with scale invariance
    - Scale free: no typical/average/representative nodes
    - Popularized by “Barabasi (2003): Linked”
  - **Pareto principle, aka 80/20 rule**
    - Vilfredo Pareto, around 1900
    - Generalized: 80% of X cause/produce/consume 20% of Y, while 20% of X cause/produce/consume 80% of Y
  - **Long Tail**: a few are bestsellers while most books are sold in low numbers
  - **Zipf’s Law**: a word’s frequency is inversely proportional to the word’s rank in a frequency table

Models of Network Evolution: Small World

- You (red) know your friends (blue) and your friend’s friends (blue) (FOAF = friend of a friend)
- Principle: Rewiring (introduce randomness)
  - Example:
    - Your friend’s friend Ben want to meet Amy.
      - How many people does he have to go through to be introduced to her?
      - Are you helpful in this process? (time 1)
    - Now lets assume you knew Amy... (t 2)
      - You = shortcut
      - Shortcuts make the world small
- **Model: Small World (Milgram 1967)**
Models of Network Evolution: Small World

• Milgram: experiment ($680 budget)

- Wichita, KS
- Omaha, NB
- ... send this to a personal acquaintance you know on a first name basis who is more likely than you to know the target person
- Wife of a divinity school teacher, Cambridge, MA
- Stockbroker in Boston, MA

Findings (Milgram, below): social distance > physical distance

- Replicated for Facebook (721 mio users, 69 billion links)
  - Average distance: 4.74, i.e. 3.74 intermediaries or "degrees of separation" (Backstrom et al. 2012)
## Network Topologies: Forms of Organization and Organizing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Underlying Principle</th>
<th>Structural Fingerprint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erdos Renyi Random Graph</td>
<td>Randomness</td>
<td>Node degree follow normal distribution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale Free Network</td>
<td>Preferential Attachment</td>
<td>Most nodes have a few links while a few nodes have many links (hubs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small World Network</td>
<td>Shortcuts</td>
<td>Nodes connected to its neighbors and a few distant nodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchy</td>
<td>Power</td>
<td>Directed, acyclic graph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular Network</td>
<td>Balance between conceal and coordinate Trust</td>
<td>Dense connections within cells, sparse connections among cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Periphery Network</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Nodes belong either to core or periphery. No ties between periphery nodes, but from core to core and periphery.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Differences to Other Domains

**Statistics:**
- General utility method
- Independence assumption (iid: independent and identically-distributed random variables)

**Social sciences:**
- Reduction of social concepts and phenomena to unstructured data
- Focus on entities and their attributes
- Attributes static across social contexts
- Data collection via sampling

**Network Analysis:**
- Becoming a general utility method
- Dependency assumption
- Express questions as structured variables
- Focus on entities and their relations
- Relations space and time dependent
- Some entity attributes can be formalized as relations
- Data collection via census

Network Basics: Network Analysis Process

1. Specification of goal, question, or task.
2. Specification of relevant nodes, edges, and network boundaries.
3. Data collection if no data given.
4. Representation of relational data as a list, matrix, or graph.
5. Analysis and utilization of relational data.
6. Result validation. Do error analysis if applicable.
7. Interpretation of the results with respect to step 1.
The network analysis process

• 1. Specify goal, question, or task
  – Identified as gap or contradiction in prior work
  – Given by client

• 2. Specify relevant nodes, edges, boundaries
  – Network boundaries:
    • Natural (all comments on a blog)
    • Demographic, ecological (all publications by UIUC researchers)

• 3. Data collection
  – Complete population (all online information about GSLIS)
  – Snowballing
    • Problems?
      – Starting point
      – Missing isolates
  – Archival data

• 4. Representation of relational data as a list, matrix, or graph
  – All isomorphic
    • Express the same information without loss of information
    • Can be translated into one another (as opposed to transformed)
The network analysis process

5. Analysis and utilization of relational data
   – Data management: database operations: store, retrieve, search, merge
   – Heuristic: Visualization
   – Analytical: Network Analysis
   – Prognostic, exploring possible scenarios: Simulation
   – Input to machine learning system
   – Combination/integration with classical statistics

6. Result validation. Do error analysis if applicable.
   – Generalization
   – Limitations

7. Interpretation of the results with respect to step 1.
   – Implications
   – Suggestions, e.g. policies
   – Upper and lower bound for generalization: under what conditions do your findings hold truth?
   – Derive hypotheses and theories
   – Build models
Example: The network analysis process in action

- 1985*: Kenneth Lay. Houston, Texas
- Business: gas supplier, energy broker, global commodity trader, and “other”
- $$ \text{Success} $$!
  - 2001: 7th-largest business organization (by revenue) in the USA, 21,000 employees in over 40 countries
  - Stock market’s darling
- 12/2001: Bankruptcy
- Charged with illicit accounting and business practices
- Involved Auditor: Arthur Andersen

Example: Network analysis process in action

1. Substantive, network related research questions:
   - How do the structure and functioning of an organization change during a crisis?
   - How does interpersonal communication change during a crisis?

2. Specification of relevant nodes, edges, network boundaries
   - Nodes: people, edges: email communication
   - Trade-off between coordination and concealment

Diesner J, Frantz T, Carley KM (2005) Communication Networks from the Enron Email Corpus “It’s Always About the People Enron is no Different”. Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory (CMOT), 11(3), 201-228.
Example: Network analysis process in action

3. Data:
- Inquiries by SEC and FERC in 2002 -> release of 620,000 emails
- Real communication from a real organization (over time 10/1998 - 07/2002)
- Rare glimpse into organizational processes, culture, crisis
- from raw relational data (email addresses) to network data (people, content, context) to insights and knowledge
- Compiled career history for 676 people with full name, email addresses (1 to 17 per person, average: 2.2), career history (110 job titles, 13 positions, 8 ranks)
- Social structure (headers)
- Semantics (bodies)

Example: Network analysis process in action

5., 7. Network Analysis and Interpretation -> Answers:
- Network: higher density, heterogeneity, cohesion, new links
- More by-passing of formal chains or hierarchies
- Pair-wise relations intensified (trusted others), triads decreased
- More communication, but communication diversity and entropy decrease (less words, less distinct words, higher redundancy)
  * Discourse drifts towards polarized ends of themes and issues?
- Individual patterns start to strongly vary depending on addressee
Network Basics:
Network Visualizations

- Heuristic utility
- Appropriate for:
  - Stimulating communication
- Inappropriate for:
  - Large-scale data
  - Objective analysis
  - Be careful when used for consulting
- Layout options
  - Idea of spring embedders
  - Diplomatic: Circles
Bravo! You have passed the primer in network analysis!

Readings and References

- **Network Analysis** – introductory text books:

- **Network models** – we ran them in NetLogo:
Readings and References

• On skewed distributions:

References for Images

• Internet: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Internet_map_1024.jpg
• Core periphery: Image from http://wwwpersonal.umich.edu/~ladamic/img/politicalblogs.jpg
• Enron: http://www.pbs.org/independentlens/enron/index.html
Network Analysis: Learning Resources

- Mailing list:
- Organization:
- Journals:
  - Social Network Analysis and Mining http://www.springer.com/computer/database+management+%26+information+retrieval/journal/13278
  - Network Science: http://www.indiana.edu/~netsci/index.html
  - Social Networks: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03788733

Network Data Sets: Types

- Social
  - From small-scale (observations) to web-scale (social media)
- Collaboration (who works with whom)
  - Co-author, co-appear in movies, co-edit, co-chair (corporate boards)
- Communication
  - Who talks to whom
- Information
  - The web, citation networks, semantic networks
- Technological
  - Routers, power generation stations
- Biological
  - Food web, animals, cell metabolism, neurological

For typology see Kleinberg textbook, chapter 2.4, images http://www-personal.umich.edu/~mejn/networks/
Network Data Sets

- Classic small scale, small group data: [http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/ucinet/ucidata.htm](http://vlado.fmf.uni-lj.si/pub/networks/data/ucinet/ucidata.htm) (also at [https://sites.google.com/site/ucinetsoftware/datasets](https://sites.google.com/site/ucinetsoftware/datasets))
- Smaller collection of classic and some older internet datasets: [http://www-personal.umich.edu/~mejn/netdata/](http://www-personal.umich.edu/~mejn/netdata/)
- Large scale, social media data: [http://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html](http://snap.stanford.edu/data/index.html)

Network Data Sets

- Large kitchen sink: [http://www.casos.cs.cmu.edu/computational_tools/data2.php](http://www.casos.cs.cmu.edu/computational_tools/data2.php)
- A smaller kitchen sink: [https://nwb.slis.indiana.edu/community/?n=Datasets.HomePage](https://nwb.slis.indiana.edu/community/?n=Datasets.HomePage)
- And an even smaller kitchen sink: [http://pajek.imfm.si/doku.php?id=data:pajek:vlado](http://pajek.imfm.si/doku.php?id=data:pajek:vlado)
Network Data Sets: Collection

- NodeXL (http://nodexl.codeplex.com)
- Netscrape (http://socialcomputing.asu.edu/pages/netscrape)
- Codebase: ScraperWiki https://scraperwiki.com/

Social Network Analysis Software: Overview and Main Stream Tools

- Overview: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_network_analysis_software (Name and URL, main functionality, input and output formats, platforms, license, costs)
- Mature in terms of metrics, matrix transformation routines, visualization, import and export from/to various data formats
  - Biggest player: UCINET (https://sites.google.com/site/ucinetsoftware/home)
    • limited scalability, commercial, free trial
  - Pajek (http://pajek.imfm.si/doku.php)
    • Comparatively great scalability, free
  - visone: http://visone.info/ (free for academic research)
Network Analysis Software: Open Source

- Low entry, high ceiling: NodeXL (http://nodexl.codeplex.com/) (grouping, viz, baseline set of metrics)
- Currently popular: Gephi: http://gephi.org/ (viz, format conversion)
- R routines/packages for SNA (http://erzuli.ss.uci.edu/R.stuff/), now mainly integrated into statnet (http://www.statnet.org/)
- Highly flexible graph manipulation code base: JUNG http://jung.sourceforge.net/ (no update since Jan 2010)
- Library and GUI-based tool: GUESS (uses JUNG) (http://graphexploration.cond.org/download.html#source)
  (no update since 2007)

From Words to Networks: Methodology
Text Analysis and Social Science and Computing: Levels of Resolution

- **Distant readings**
- **Close readings**

Text Data → Network Data Construction & Analysis → Applications

Scalable, reliable, robust methods & technologies

- Answer substantive questions about networks
- Fill databases
- Forecasting: Explore future behavior and what-if scenarios
- Input to other computations, e.g. machine learning

Data analysis
Accuracy Assessment in Information Retrieval: Concepts of recall and precision

- Relevant items: left of straight line
- Retrieved items: in oval
- Red regions: errors:
  - Left: false negatives
  - Right: false positives
- Precision $P$: left green region/oval
- Recall $R$: left green region/left region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Truth</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result</strong></td>
<td><strong>TP: True positive (yeah, correct result)</strong></td>
<td><strong>FP: False positive (oops, false alarm)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FN: False negative (oops, blind spots)</strong></td>
<td><strong>TP: True negative (yeah, correctly missing results)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accuracy Assessment in Information Retrieval: Concepts of recall and precision

- Recall = $TP / TP+FN$
- Precision = $TP / TP+FP$
- Relationship?
  - Inverse. Thus a harmonic mean is calculated:
  - $F = T*P / 0.5 \ (T+R)$
Text Analysis and Social Science and Computing: Levels of Resolution

Distant readings

Today's workshop

Close readings

From Words to Networks: Association networks, based on co-occurrence of concepts in text data

- Basic principle and assumption: Language, information and knowledge can be modeled and represented as relational data


Classification: A main Task in Text Coding

- Ontology: the study of being or existence
- Taxonomy: practice & science of classification
- Modifying ontologies:
  - Human updating, re-indexing
- Solutions?
  - Citizen science, crowdsourcing
  - Automation

Relation Extraction: One-mode and Multi-mode Networks

Example from UN News Service (New York), 12-28-2004: “Jan Pronk, the Special Representative of Secretary-General Kofi Annan to Sudan, today called for the immediate return of the vehicles to World Food Programme (WFP) and NGOs.”

extract relational data:

**one mode/ semantic networks**

- Jan Pronk
- Kofi Annan
- WFP
- NGO’s
- Sudan
- vehicles

**multi-mode/ meta-networks**

- Jan Pronk
- Kofi Annan
- WFP
- NGO’s
- Sudan
- vehicles

Identify **relevant** nodes

Classify **identified** nodes
Motivation for Relational Text Analysis

- **Fact:** Collection and storage of large volumes of text data cheap, easy and efficient
  - Interviews, books, news wire articles, legal documents, annual reports, data from web 1.0 (web sites) and web 2.0 (emails, blogs, chats, ...)
- **Need:** Methods and tools for automated, robust and reliable knowledge discovery and reasoning about information, incl. network structures, from text data.
- **Challenge:** Effective, efficient and controlled extraction of relevant (user-defined) instances of categories (e.g. node and edge classes) from unstructured, natural language text data.
Basic Types of Information in Text Data

• **Morphology**: structure of words
  – E.g. spelling, inflections, derivations
• **Syntax**: relationships between words
  – E.g. parts of speech tagging
• **Semantics**: meaning of language
  – E.g. word sense disambiguation, grammars
• **Pragmatics**: language in context and social use of language
  – E.g. sentiment analysis, discourse analysis
• **Relation Extraction (this lab)**: borrows from all of the above

Network Data Extracted from Texts

• Respective theories and methods developed across many disciplines:
  – Artificial Intelligence (e.g. Sowa)
  – Cognition and Linguistics (e.g. Collins)
  – Communications (e.g. Doerfel, Monge)
  – Political Science (e.g. Schrodt)
  – Sociology (e.g. Carley, Mohr)
  – Computer Science (e.g. McCallum)
1. Mental Models (Spreading Activation) (Collins & Loftus 1975)
3. Discourse Representation Theory (Kamp 1981)
5. Centering Resonance Analysis (Corman et al. 2002)
6. Mind maps (Buzan 1974)
7. Concept maps (Novak & Gowin 1984)
8. Hypertext (Trigg & Weiser 1986)
9. Qualitative text coding (Grounded Theory) (Glaser & Strauss 1967)
10. Definitional semantic networks incl. text coding with ontologies (Fellbaum 1998)
12. Frames (Minsky 1974)
14. Network Text Analysis in social science (Carley & Palmquist 1991)
15. Event Coding in pol. science (King & Lowe 2003, Schrodt et al. 2008)
17. Probabilistic graphical models (Howard 1989, Pearl 1988)

Exercise

- Task: Represent the relevant information contained in the text data as network data.
- Questions:
  - What criteria did you use to identify nodes? Edges?
  - How did you come up with your criteria?
  - How many different criteria (features) did you use?
  - How consistent were you in applying your criteria?
  - How similar are the solutions from different teams?
Lab:
• Information Extraction
• Relation Extraction

Lab: Three Step Process

• **Text pre-processing:**
  — Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques precondition for finding meaningful information, incl. representations of nodes and edges, in text data
  — Selection of relevant entities (positive filter: thesaurus, entity extraction) or removal of irrelevant entities (negative filter: delete list) given the research question, data, domain

• **Node identification (and classification)**
  — Thesaurus-based (manually or automatically built)

• **Edge identification (and classification)**
  — Identification: Proximity based approach (co-occurrence)
Finding salient terms: Cumulative frequency

- Bag of Words
- How to:
  - Load texts into AutoMap
  - Create Union Concept List
    - Generate: Concept List: Union
  - Sort results file by decreasing cumulative frequency
  - This is one dimension of salience, prominence, importance
  - What are other dimensions?


Refine Bag of Words: Stop words

- Stop words listed in delete list
- Serves as negative filter (remove from text data what’s contained in list)
- How to:
  - Preprocess: Text Refinement: Apply delete list
  - How to construct a delete list?
    - In concept list viewer
    - Use predefined lists for English from “apply delete list panel”
    - Construct your own, one entry per line (incl. n-grams), save as .csv file
  - Notion of adjacency (direct vs. rhetorical, which maintains original distance of words)
Finding salient terms: 
TD*IDF

• What determines word’s importance in corpus?
  – Discriminating and distinguishing
• tf = term frequency (importance of term within document)
  \[ tf = \frac{\text{cumulative occurrence of term } x \text{ in document } y}{\text{total number of terms in document } y} \]
• idf = inverse document freq. (importance of a term in corpus)
  \[ idf = \log \frac{\text{total number of documents in corpus}}{\text{total number of documents containing term } x} \]
• tfidf = tf * idf
• tfidf: strategy and measure
  – High if tf = high and df = low
  – High for signal, low for noise
• How to:
  Generate: Concept List: Union

Finding salient terms: 
N-grams

• Meaningful multi-words units
• How to:
  – Generate: generalization thesaurus: bigram
  – Sort by decreasing frequency and decreasing tfidf, pick suitable entries, removed duplicates
Text pre-processing: Stemming

- Detects inflections and derivations of concepts
- Converts each term into its morpheme
- How to:
  - Pre-process: text refinement: stemming
- Two families of stemmers:
  - Porter (rule-based): high efficiency, poor human readability
  - Krovetz (dictionary-based): lower efficiency, better human readability


Text pre-processing: When to stop?

- When to stop? (“criteria”)
  - Orcam’s razor:
    - 14th-century English logician and Franciscan friar, William of Ockham.
    - Aka lex parsimoniae (law of parsimony)
    - Basic idea: All other things being equal, the simplest solution is the best.
  - Why does it matter?
    - Don’t want: Overfitting
    - Want: Generalizability
Positive filter: Thesaurus

• Text term (incl. n-gram), concept, entity class
• Functions: disambiguation (1,2), consolidation (3,4), n-gram concatenation (3,4)
• Examples:
  1. Apple, apple, organization
  2. apple, apple, resource
  3. Digital Humanities, digital_humanities, knowledge
  4. computational folkloristic, digital_humanities, knowledge

Positive filter: Thesaurus Construction

• How to use thesaurus:
  – List relevant entities: serves as positive filter
    • Then construct one-mode network, aka semantic network
  – Cross-classify relevant entities with ontological categories
    • Then construct multi-mode network
• Help for constructing thesauri:
  • Computer-supported: union Concept List (terms with highest frequency and tfidf values after deletion), bigrams
  • Automated: AutoMap: generate: thesaurus suggestion (more on slide 42)
    • External sources (e.g. CIA World Fact Book, WordNet)
    • Other automated techniques, e.g. Bootstrapping
• Limitations:
  – Tedious, incomplete, outdated, deterministic
Ontology: the who, what, when, where, why, and how of events

Who? (people, groups)

Where? (places)

When? (time)

What? (tasks, events)

How? (resources, knowledge)

Why? (beliefs, sentiments, mental models)

Linking nodes: Approaches

• Syntax and surface patterns (Fillmore, Schrod)
  – Linguistics: parsing trees

• Logical and Knowledge Representation in Artificial Intelligence (Shapiro)
  – first order calculus, predicate logic (quantifiers)

• Distance based (Danowski)
  – Communications: distance in text (windowing) or in space (Euclidean)

• Probabilistic, learning from data (McCallum)
  – Machine learning techniques: probabilistic (Bayesian), kernels (N-dimensional similarity), graphical models (hidden markov models, conditional random fields), boot strapping

Link formation: one simple approach: Distance-based

- Distance based approach, Windowing:
  - Text Unit (text, paragraph, sentence)
  - Window Size (2 to N)
  - Adjacency (direct or rhetorical)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leader</th>
<th>xxx</th>
<th>actively</th>
<th>involved</th>
<th>xxx</th>
<th>several</th>
<th>industry</th>
<th>xxx</th>
<th>civic associations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

- Exercise: given the following thesaurus, what combination of distance based features results in a useful relational structure?
  - Thesaurus: leader, leader; involved, engage; industry, industry; civic associations, civic_association
  - Sentence, thesaurus content only, rhetorical adjacency, window size 5:

Distance-based node linkage

- How to:
  - AutoMap: Generate: meta network: meta-network dynetlm1 (Union)
  - Set parameters – for this course, mainly select:
    - Directionality: bidirectional
    - An appropriate window size
    - An appropriate stop unit
    - A meta-network thesaurus, and check the box: use master thesaurus format
      » The thesaurus needs to have the following header row:
      » conceptFrom, conceptTo, metaOntology, metaName
      » You don’t need a value in the last column
Thesaurus Construction

- From rule-based and deterministic methods to probabilistic and machine-learning based methods
- How to use it in AutoMap:
  - Load raw data, no preprocessing
  - Generate: thesaurus suggestion
    - Decision support wizard on that panel has overview on types
    - Most accurate one: the model in the middle, sufficient for most work in this course: the one right above the one in the middle

References

- Recommended further readings related to this session:
References

• Image for Precision and Recall from Wikipedia
• Mental Models:

Readings and References
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Thank you!

Q&A

• For any questions, comments, feedback, follow-up—now and in the future:
  Jana Diesner
  Email: jodiesner@illinois.edu
  Phone: ++1 (412) 519 7576
  Web: http://people.lis.illinois.edu/~jodiesner